ORIGINAL

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PUBLIC HEARING

WORKING DRAFT SIX-YEAR

IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2007-2012

MAY 9, 2006 6:00 P.M.

P. O. Box 12628 Roanoke, Virginia 24027

1		
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Commonwealth Transportation Board: Pierce R. Homer, Chairman	
4	Alan Tobias	- - -
5	Gregory A. Whirley, Sr. Dana Martin James Lee Keen	
6	dames hee keen	
7		
8		
9		
10	<u>Speakers</u>	<u>Page</u>
11		
12	Vice Mayor Beverly Fitzpatrick	11
13	Dave Morgan	16
14	Joseph Paxton	19
15	Lionel Toms	21
16	Carl Espy	27
17	Nancy Sorrells	37
18	Jerryanne Bier	41
19	Lee Ann Carr	45
20	Delegate Morgan Griffith	48
21	Steve Chapin	54
22	Kathleen Guzi	57
23	Joyce Waugh	65
24		

THE CHAIRMAN, PIERCE HOMER: Good evening, my name is Pierce Homer, I'm the Chairman of the Commonwealth Transportation Board and I'm pleased to welcome everyone here tonight for the public hearing on the working draft of our six-year improvement program.

I am going to have a little bit to say about the content of this so that people in their public commentary can be informed about the underlying financial and project assumptions.

But before we do that I wanted to introduce the other members of the Commonwealth Transportation Board who are here. Mr. James Keen, to my far left. Mr. Dana Martin, who represents the Salem district, Greg Whirley, who is the VDOT Commissioner, and Alan Tobias, who is representing the Director of the Department of Rail and Public Transportation.

So we are here to hear the public's comments tonight. I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge the district administrators who are here. I see Richard Caywood. Jim Gibons and Gary Moore from the Staunton district, and Tom Ramey from the Lynchburg district. There you

are. And those gentlemen are charged with the day-to-day implementation of the various VDOT programs.

Folks who work in Alan's shop work on a statewide basis with regional and local transit providers, and importantly and increasingly with our rail partners. Yesterday I was privileged to be here with Governor Kaine to announce a major partnership with Norfolk Southern Railroad, it's called the Heartland Corridor.

That would expand the capacity of that railroad and the ability to carry freight on the Norfolk Southern freight lines, go all the way to the Port of Virginia and into West Virginia, Ohio. So that was a significant event for us.

In preparation for the public commentary, and once we begin, we do have sign-up sheets, I will ask if there are any elected officials, I believe the Vice Mayor is here to give some opening remarks.

I would like to give folks an overview of the working draft of the program because there have been very substantial changes, and very substantial changes even from the six-year program

that is in place today, and these are important and in many cases troubling changes.

So it is a smaller program, principally in the areas of primary, secondary, urban and public transportation. Well, why is that? How could the six-year program be less today than it was a year ago. Well, we are required by law to base our six-year program on official revenue estimates.

Many of you may know there is no budget today in the General Assembly, so we fall back on a series of revenue estimates that dates back to last November. Why is this law on the books?

Well, several years ago the Commonwealth Transportation Board, and I'll say about five years ago, fell into some very bad habits of guessing or anticipating or hoping what the General Assembly or Congress might do in the way of transportation funding.

You know, we all want to believe the best in life, and so those revenue forecasts were very optimistic. So much so that in the year 2002 we were asked by the Auditor of Public Accounts, that is an independent agency chartered by the General Assembly, to review our revenue forecasting

ability, and what we found was a tremendous gap between what we were going to get versus what we were hoping to get.

You know how far off we were? 27 percent.

We were estimating about 10 billion dollars in revenues over six years at that time, and the reality was we were only going to get about 7.3.

So the General Assembly passed a law that said you got to use official revenue estimates, and it was a prudent choice, it's just simple honesty, simple good arithmetic.

The other part of that was requiring that the six-year program be adopted by July 1, that's when our fiscal year begins, and just with any business when your fiscal year begins you need to have a budget in place, and that requirement, that legal requirement was put in at approximately the same time.

Now let me tell you about the drivers. In addition to, I mentioned decreased revenues, why is that? Well, it's very simple. Our major source of revenue is the gas tax. 17 and a-half cents per gallon. As the price of gasoline goes up, people consume less, and our revenues go down.

A very simple trade off.

On the cost side of the equation, and again, everyone of you who is in business or public life know about the impact of fuel costs now routinely topping three dollars a gallon, asphalt alone up by 34 percent in the last quarter, those translate to dramatically increasing costs, not only for highway construction, but importantly for highway maintenance.

And as our first responsibility,
maintenance costs, it costs more to do an asphalt
overlay, it costs more to replace guardrail
because of steel and concrete, go on and on down
the list. What that does is it increases the
maintenance budget by about 362 million dollars
over six years. That's 362 million dollars right
out of the construction program.

And that's one of the major reasons for the reduction in this construction program. I mentioned about the revenues dropping, that's a 221 million dollar reduction. Everybody says, well, gosh, we just got the highway bill, that means more money, right? Yes, but the money

doesn't go to the core programs.

And understand this about that last federal transportation bill. 75 percent of the new money goes to earmarked federal projects. It doesn't go to the traditional urban or secondary or interstate programs, it's designated for specific projects, and we have to take state dollars and match that.

so in effect those earmarks become a mandate on how to spend not only the federal money but the 20 percent match we are mandated to match that, otherwise we lose the money. So what this means is that, yes, there is an increase in federal money, but the demands created by that federal money exceed what's available to us.

It's a puzzling situation, but a financial reality we have to deal with. So if you add those up, declining revenues because of gas tax, the rising costs, asphalt, steel, concrete, and I would add to this list federal mandates, and increasing maintenance needs.

All of those combine into a perfect storm, if you will, of reduced money available for highway construction. Now, there is a similar set

of problems underway in the world of public transportation, and if you are a local transit provider the cost of fuel and diesel is a big component in a local transit budget, the cost of buying or replacing a bus is up substantially.

There is a lot of facilities and capital improvements that go along with the public transportation system. The same factors are very much at work with our public transportation system, and what you will see is the total set of impacts here.

Look down at the bottom, public transportation impacts, a 21 percent capital reimbursement. Historically that's the lowest rate it's ever been. It used to be that the state said we have got a goal of paying 95 percent of the capital costs for a new bus that a locality buys.

Historically it's been, you know, between 50 and 60 percent. It's now down to 21 percent. 21 percent. And localities make those decisions two and three years in advance. So if you're with the Roanoke area transit or Blacksburg and you plan ahead three years as a locality and say I'm

going to lay out \$600,000 for a new bus on the assumption I'll get half that back from the state, along comes where we are today, you only get 21 percent.

That's very difficult for localities to work in that kind of a partnership arrangement.

Coming back to the highway side, and this is kind of a simple number that explains where we are.

What would it take to get this draft program that we are commenting on tonight back up to where we are today? 870 million over six years. And that's that combination of declining revenues and increasing costs.

These are the details by program. You can see the very large increase in federal earmarks, you can see the very large decreases in the primary and the secondary and urban systems. And incidently, urban and primary, those projects are chosen by your local governing bodies, but the reductions in those are about 40 percent for every locality. 40 percent.

To summarize, the program is shrinking, not growing. We have a set of rules that we operate under and there is wisdom in those rules, we

б

should not be rosy or optimistic in our forecasts, we must adopt it by July 1. The Commonwealth Transportation Board will act on this at their June meeting, I believe it's June 17 this is scheduled to be acted on, and the impacts will be felt the hardest at the local level.

So with that we will conclude that brief presentation and I will begin the public comment section and we would like to welcome Vice Mayor Bev Fitzpatrick to welcome us here to the region. Welcome, Mayor Fitzpatrick.

MR. FITZPATRICK: Mr. Secretary, I didn't know I would get to officially welcome you in Salem, I don't know if I have permission of the Salem mayor or not, but before I make my transportation comments let me tell you and the members of the CTB and staff how much we appreciate your taking the time to have these kinds of hearings across the Commonwealth.

I almost feel embarrassed even to come up here and suggesting that we don't like this and that we would like for you to do it differently, but I think it's important for you to know where we as localities are and where some of us looking

<u>4</u>

at the larger picture of Virginia would like to see us move in the long-term.

I come to you kind of with four positions.

One, as Vice Mayor of the city. The second is

Chair of the Virginia Municipal League

Transportation Committee, the third is Co-chair of

Virginians for Better Transportation, and lastly,

as Vice Chairman of Valley Metro which you already

alluded to is the Roanoke Valley's public transit

system.

We obviously are very pleased with past allocations in the urban system, you take care of us in the year that we are talking about, but a 41 percent reduction in allocations to the urban street program is going to really severely impact our ability to move our residents, to move our economic development activities, normally something, as you know all know, that we are 10 to 20 years behind in any way.

We are really concerned that we are not going to meet the traffic goals, the economic development goals, the citizen goals and, more importantly, some of our industrial development prospect goals if this trend continues and we are

not able to get some relief from the General Assembly.

ability to impact the interstate and primary system, which effects us primarily through 581 and 220. We have got several interchanges, I won't mention them because Rich and his able staff are aware of them and have been working really well with us to try to move some of those forward, but it's really going to be crucial to an inner city, and any inner city that you all talk to, to maintain some level of transportation movement.

Usually we, whether we like it or not, are the capital city of Western Virginia, and many of those regions around us can either benefit or be hurt by our inability to be the leader and provide the right kind of infrastructure. Transportation, specifically access to 81, 581 and 220, is pretty crucial in that process.

Looking a little bit at public transit, you know, we are about at that point where we will have a crisis and people will start riding public transit to save money. At a time like this we can ill afford to cut it, we ought to be expanding it

and making it available to more people, and one of the things that bothers us the most, the public is saying they want more service now.

That's not a normal thing for the public in a community the size of Roanoke, but we are finding regional and statewide polls suggesting that, and the irony is many of the people who ride Valley Metro have that as their only source of getting to and from an appointment.

The cost to the Commonwealth of Virginia is far more if they go on unemployment and welfare than it would be for us to maintain that service. Without significant increases, as you well stated, Mr. Secretary, none of us are going to be able to meet the goals that we already had in mind in the six-year plan, and I think the most important thing, we might see service reductions here for the first time in decades if we are not able to change what appears to be the coming trend.

Our seniors use this service to shop a lot and, as I mentioned earlier, others use it for professional services, health care, getting to and from work, and we don't want them to lose those jobs.

We estimate that we can save up to \$6,500 a year by folks who commute each way. Obviously that's a whole lot of money, but it's lot more than a tax cut to those folks. And I think we are all concerned about the inaction by the General Assembly, we certainly know that they are in a bind, and it puts you all in a bind.

And rather than be critical of where we are, I would only ask that as a representative of the city and some folks across the state, that you all do your best to help the General Assembly understand the impact that this kind of cut and continuing to cut this would have without some kind of action on behalf of that body to move us forward.

We are particularly concerned that we will be doing maintenance only in a very short number of years, and if we are going to do things like the Heartland Corridor that the Governor and Wick Moorman talked about yesterday, you know, southwest Virginia will be hurt significantly if we cannot connect that intermodal operation to 81 in the most efficient way possible.

I think my greatest fear, looking larger

than the State of Virginia, it stands to be gridlocked, and the Port of Virginia won't be able to grow as we would like it to.

But let me thank each of you for what you do for the Commonwealth. I fear as an elected official that you don't hear that any more than we do. It is a great sacrifice of your time from your families and others to take the time to do this kind of thing.

So we ask as local governments that you please let us know if there is anything that we can do to support you, to help you, knowing that the General Assembly appoints you. You can't be but so blunt with them, and we understand that, but if we can be of help to you in any way I hope you will let us know, and once again we thank you for the opportunity.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Mayor. The next speaker is Dave Morgan, to be followed by Joseph Paxton.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening. My name is
David Morgan, I'm the General Manager of Greater
Roanoke Transit Company. We are the public's
transit provider for the Roanoke Valley and the

region.

We do operate Valley Metro, which is the local bus service, as well as the Smart Way Bus Service which operates between the New River Valley and the Roanoke Valley. And by the way, that is the only public transit land canal between the two regions.

Both of these services operate approximately from five a.m. to nine p.m., Monday through Saturday, and we are currently experiencing, compared to last year, ridership increases on Valley Metro of approximately 10 percent, and Smart Way ridership increases of almost 35 percent.

Now, that sounds wonderful, but it falls very short of the demand that we are currently experiencing. For those folks who are finding employment opportunities on second and third shift, or even Sundays, they are having to reject those opportunities because of the lack of public transportation.

That leaves a certain segment of our community that are either underemployed or unemployed. In addition to the local demands, we

have regional demands, and we have heard requests from Bedford, Franklin County, Montgomery County and Radford, that they would like to get involved in public transportation.

In fact, the ridership and the demand for additional transit services is increased across the state, as you are well aware of. But at this time so is the operating costs for operating the transit service. The increase in the fuel, tires and parts can just no longer be postponed.

We have to find a solution to resolve those issues. We have approached the City of Roanoke in doing our budget preparation for next year and have requested a 20 percent increase in our investment from the locality. That is just to maintain the current level of service.

If we are unable to receive additional dollars from the state we will have no other choice but to reduce the level of service that we currently provide to the region.

So we come to you and ask on behalf of the 6,500 passengers that we provide service for on a daily basis to work very closely with the General Assembly, to please try to find a long-term

financial solution to our current crisis. Thank you very much.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. The next speaker is Joseph Paxton, to be followed by Lionel Toms.

MR. PAXTON: Thank you, Mr. Secretary, gentlemen. I appreciate the opportunity to come back home to Salem. I am currently the County Administrator of Rockingham County, but I grew up in the Roanoke Valley and Salem born and Salem bred, and so those of us that live around here, and the Mayor can tell you, that means I'll be Salem dead one of these days, but hopefully they will have roads to get me to where I need to go.

I come to talk to you tonight about the project up in the valley that the Secretary and the Commission know a lot about, but the other members on the Commonwealth Transportation Board may not, and that's the Port Republic Road project.

We are working closely with James Madison
University and the Commonwealth to try and allow
the Commonwealth to acquire the existing
Rockingham Memorial Hospital property by moving

that hospital out into the county off of a road
that's known as Port Republic Road.

The Commonwealth Transportation Board last year changed that road from a secondary road to a primary road. We have been working closely with some money that's currently in the budget to design a portion of that road that would start at the eastern city limits and run out to Boyers Road, which is just past the hospital property.

That work is underway, Garrett Moore and Don Camara up in that end of the valley are working real closely with us to try and work on that. We are also trying to help ourselves.

One of the things we have been hearing from the General Assembly is that localities have to step forward and developers have to step forward to help solve this road problem that we have in the Commonwealth, and we are working with developers in that area to try and get a connector road that will tie in with Port Republic Road and connect it over to Route 11 to the south and over to Route 33 to the north so that we can move traffic through that area, but we do need your help.

There is only planning money in for Port

Republic Road. There may be some money left over 1 in the southeast connector study that already has 2 General Assembly approval to shift over when and 3 if the Commonwealth Transportation Board believes 4 that those funds are actually available. 5 We want to make sure that study gets 6 completed and then move those funds over, but it 7 will not be enough to complete that road project. 8 The hospital looks to open some time in 2009 or 9 2010, which doesn't give us much time, and so 10 anything that you can do once a budget is adopted, 11 once we get more money for transportation, to 12 allocate a portion of those to this project will 13 be greatly appreciated. Thank you. 14 Thank you, Mr. Paxton. What is MR. HOMER: 15 the total cost? 16 MR. PAXTON: About 24 million. 17 The next speaker is Mr. Lionel MR. HOMER: 18 Welcome, Mr. Toms. And you will be Toms. 19 followed by Carl Espy. 20 MR. TOMS: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 21 name is Lionel W. Toms. Good evening, everyone. 22 I'm here on the safety project of 122 and 24 south 23

24

of Bedford County. This is the third phase that

will connect to be complete for this project, the number of 0024009118N501PPS67528.

I have had a lot of communications with I guess everybody up here. I haven't seen everybody. I met Mr. Homer tonight, this is the first time. I have seen him on computer, that's how I get to know these people some time, and I have seen a lot of these other people, too.

Mr. Pete Sensabaugh, he makes things happen. I thank everyone that's helped me with this project.

The third phase has got to be fixed some time or the other. It's located in Bedford County, south of Bedford County. That's where my son was killed in 2002. September 10, 2002 he was killed out there at this death trap intersection. It was a death trap intersection.

It's safer, we have got two phases in out there now, but it's still a dangerous place on that southern part of 122. It's approaching the light from Moneta, Virginia. It's a dip, it's a poor eyesight, sight distance is bad. I have taken pictures of this thing and I have left copies and reports to Mr. Caywood to have this

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

looked at and corrected as soon as possible.

What happened out there was the approach of 24 to 122 when my son was killed, it was at a bad angle. This lady failed to yield the right of way and she rammed in to and hit my son, then he went out of control and over the top of the guardrail out there and he got killed.

The third phase out there consists of raised grade, add left turn lanes, install permanent beam, that's with the steel columns that holds the light up across the road. Out there now it's poles and it's cable that holds it up. anyway, that's with the concrete footings.

This is recommended by Mr. Caywood on a fax copy that I have got from Mrs. Debbie Sheinstein I filed 3,500 petitions from the Bedford office. for this back in 2003, and the taxpayers and the registered voters is the one that signed these things, and we are waiting. They are waiting on me and they are waiting on VDOT to get this done as soon as possible.

This is below the Moneta Rescue Squad south of the intersection of 122 and 24 like you head to Smith Mountain Lake south. Now, north is what we

are concerned with, because what they are doing, they are coming off the hill over there, on that far hill coming towards it, traveling north, the gravel trucks, the tractor trailers, all of these heavy pieces of equipment are coming off that hill too fast, more than 45 miles an hour, and I have been out there and took pictures to watch to see what they are doing.

They are going too fast and when they get up there to the light if it turns yellow they run the red light, and it's got strobes and everything in the red light, and I'm afraid somebody else is going to get killed there because they are not yielding and then they are getting in too big a hurry to make that grade. That's the reason the dip needs to be elevated up so they can have good sight distance.

I have sent letters to Governor Kaine on this, registered letters, make sure he got it, and Mr. Pierce Homer, I have sent letters to you, too, the Secretary of Transportation. The results of these letters, they end up back in the Salem district. I don't know what the situation is there. I don't know that you got a copy of that

registered letter or not, but anyway, it was sent to you.

Mr. Pete Sensabaugh, I have to commend him very highly for helping me with this project on the start. This was 2003 when I carried those petitions to Christiansburg High School back in 2003. Him and Mr. Sutton, Mrs. Debbie Sheinstein, Mr. Rob Curry, I will have to recognize him, too, because I think he's working with Mr. Pete Sensabaugh in this district.

Mr. Bob Yates, I don't know whether he's here tonight or not, but anyway, he's helped me a lot with this thing, too, on the lighting and the sign situation over there.

I hope everyone understands, one life saved at this old death trap intersection has paid to make it safer, and anyone who travels through it for now or the future. That's the reason that I want to complete this. I don't like to start anything and not try to complete it.

The people out there, I had petitions all sides of the county, east, west, north, south, Bedford County, concerning this thing, and of course that was the only thing I knew to do to

make it safer for everybody that travels through there and hope they don't have to get the phone call I did and go through what I went through.

I hope the budget will soon be settled at Richmond so VDOT can go on with the safety projects and other needs. I know it's a bad situation, I know things cost, I know what these gentlemen just said, I know exactly what they are talking about.

I mean everybody drives a car or tractor trailer, owns a tractor trailer company or whatever, they are fighting a whole lot of expense. I know everything has gone sky high.

I just want to get this thing, try to put it in the six-year plan if I can, and I will have to commend my supervisors, Mr. Chuck Newdoffer, Mr. Roger Cheek, Mr. Steve Arrington, Ms. Kathleen Guzi, all these people work as team work, team effort, to help with the situation. Especially VDOT.

My legislators did not help me with this.

I went to all of them, four of them, to try to get help with funds to get this completed and help you all with it, but I didn't get no help, but you all

helped me. The VDOT helped me with this. 1 MR. HOMER: Mr. Toms, could I ask you to 2 sum up? 3 MR. TOMS: Okay. This concludes my request 4 and reports on the safety project at 122 and 24 5 intersection, and I yield my time to the next 6 speaker. Thank you. 7 MR. HOMER: Thank you, Mr. Toms. 8 MR. TOMS: Yes, sir. 9 MR. HOMER: We do appreciate the very hard 10 work and your loss. 11 MR. TOMS: Well, I'm just trying to make it 12 safer for everybody concerned and I yield my time 13 to the next speaker. 1.4 MR. HOMER: Thank you. The next speaker is 15 Mr. Carl Espy, to be followed by Chad Hood. 16 Welcome, Mr. Espy. 17 MR. ESPY: Thank you, Secretary Homer and 18 Commissioner Whirley, I appreciate the opportunity 19 to come before you. I'm Carl Espy, I'm the Town 20 Manager of Halifax, and I know this is a very 21 important process when you hear the critical and 22 crucial needs of the communities and individuals 23 in terms of transportation and safety enhancement. 24

I first wanted to state that coming from south side Virginia I talked to our resident engineer Joe Barkley earlier this morning. I asked Joe if he would be coming to this meeting. I'm glad Tom is here, Tom Ramey, the district administrator.

Joe said he would not be able to make it.

We are in the process of the budget for the Town

of Halifax so I thought this was a good opportunity

to get out of town and not return my calls to our

finance committee promptly, so hopefully, I know

it pales in comparison to what you are up against

in Richmond, but our council will have everything

worked out for us by the time I get back.

First I just wanted to thank the CTB for the enhancement allocation that we received for our downtown revitalization project, this would be for pedestrian improvements in the courthouse area, this is the second allocation that we have received, and we do feel that pedestrian safety and the interaction of vehicular traffic and creating a walkable, sustainable community is a high priority for us.

This is going to be leveraged with \$770,000

community block grant dollars, in addition to approximately \$400,000 in local and private match, too, so we really are trying to do the best with the seed money that we have been able to receive from the CTB. This all started with the planning grant back in 2002. And that has helped the town I think begin to re-invigorate our Planning Commission, we are currently reviewing our comprehensive plan which we plan to adopt within the next three months.

And we have actually hired Hill Studio out of Roanoke to work with us on this plan which has really not been reviewed and comprehensively updated since 1977. So we are trying to address the transportation needs, looking at land use, where we could develop harmonization with our land use, and also recreational greenway opportunities where we have alternatives to vehicular transportation.

What I wanted to really come here to specifically talk about is what is in the draft budget, and that is the Route 360 bridge replacement over the Bannister River. This is in the northern gateway of our town and our

community, and in our very intensive public workshops back in the fall of 2005 we hired Draper Aden & Associates and their transportation engineer Tom Flynn, along with Michael Waldrop with Waldrop & Street Design, to get a sense of how the community wanted to see itself develop.

We are a small rural village, but we also are at the intersection of Virginia 360 and U.S. 501, so an intersection that was created back in the stage coach days many of us say, and of course there is a tremendous volume of traffic there now.

As a result of that, the community really desired to see that roundabouts be introduced for traffic calming as opposed to signalization and intersections, and it was suggested by Joe Barkley that the proposed replacement of the 360 bridge would be an opportunity to marry these two projects at the intersection of 360 and 501 since they are in close proximity, and I think that that could be done under the current budget and with the partnerships that we are developing with our transportation assistants and engineering and design.

There would also be the opportunity for improved curb, gutter and sidewalks in the proposed bridge replacement area, and this is a historic area of the town close to the river but has been I think neglected over the past few decades, it's primarily low to moderate income individuals who have not had any of these types of necessary improvements, and of course with goods and services closer by I think that walking would be a safer alternative for them as well.

The roundabout notion I think is being used I think in the Salem district, and I appreciate the CTB's responses on this because I believe that through Tom Ramey and Robert Pierce we see that we can work with VDOT on looking into this.

I know that there was the Gibbons Lane and I think Progress Street project which is actually a VDOT project if I'm not mistaken, which is a design build, so we think there could be the same opportunities to merge those too.

The main aspect of the bridge that we also want to keep VDOT in mind is if we are looking at construction in 2009 through 2011, and if in fact the preliminary engineering and right of way is

currently under way as evidenced on your Web page, the 360, that section of 360 is part of a nominated scenic byway now.

I was happy to get Commissioner Whirley's notification of that, so there are 42 miles of rural roadways which would include this section of the Bannister River bridge, and so I think in the design phase of that we would be replacing a 1920s truss bridge, so I think there would be some opportunity to make sure that aesthetically it's compatible.

We are also going to be working with the Department of Historic Resources and would like the opportunity to work with VDOT on this because there are a number of historic assets along the river corridor and opportunity to work with our greenway program, so we just appreciate the opportunity and hope that the funding will continue for this particular project.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Mr. Espy. The next speaker is Chad Hood, to be followed by Nancy Sorrells. Welcome, Mr. Hood.

MR. HOOD: Thank you. I'm here representing Scott County Board of Supervisors

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tonight. We have several concerns that we want to talk about.

To begin with, VDOT's proposal of the 11 to 15 billion dollar proposal in the Star System. feel like there are several problems with that proposal. One is the major expense of the program. Our primary roads in our area are already suffering and the secondary systems are really suffering.

Also, another problem we have with the Star System is the major traffic that it's going to incur. You are going to have a lot of freight, it's going to be dangerous, a lot more pollution, just various things. So we are more supportive of the rail system in Scott County as opposed to the Star System.

Now I'm going to talk about several things in the secondary road system. I'm going to give you a lot of numbers here so just bear with me. Starting in 2002 the secondary construction allocation for Scott County was 18.7 million and it was reduced to 11.2 million due to a 40 percent reduction in funding, and that reduced our program by 7.5 million that year.

In 2005 the secondary construction
allocation of 12.6 million was reduced to
9 million due to a 29 percent reduction in
funding, reducing Scott County's secondary
construction program by 3.6 million. And this
year the secondary construction allocation is
scheduled to be reduced from 11.6 to 6.8 million,
which will reduce Scott County's secondary
construction program by 4.7 million.

This year VACO was anticipating an allocation of two million, however, a 41 percent reduction will give an allocation of 1.2 million dollars, reducing the allocation by \$850,000.

Comparing the original allocation of 2002 of 18.7 million dollars to the proposed reduced allocation of this year, which is 6.8 million, there has been an overall reduction of 64 percent in Scott County secondary construction program over a six year span.

And some other relevant facts to Scott County, there is approximately 700 miles of secondary roads in Scott County. Of these 700 miles, there is 296 miles of unpaved secondary roads. Of the 296 miles of unpaved secondary

roads, approximately 161 miles are eligible to be 1 paved. 2 Now, in 2002 the secondary roadway average 3 cost of widening and pave was \$270,000. This year 4 in 2006 it's \$350,000. So do you understand the 5 problem we are having in Scott County? I mean we 6 have got a lot of unpaved roads that are in need 7 of pavement but with the current numbers we are 8 getting, you know, it's looking pretty grim. 9 I have also got this down. According to 10 the working draft of 2007 to 2012, the six year 11 improvement program maintenance is the largest 12 budget item with 42 percent of all six year 13 Is that correct? revenues. 14 MR. HOMER: Yes, sir. 15 MR. HOOD: Okay. According to the fiscal 16 year 2006 business plan update VDOT's 3.8 billion 17 dollar budget, approximately 580 million dollars, 18 or 13 percent, was not out sourced, it was done in 19 VDOT service, right? 20 MR. HOMER: Approximately. 21 MR. HOOD: Okay. 2.6 billion dollars of 22 the 3.8 billion dollars was given to the private 23 sector, which that's 68 percent of that total 24

fund. In my opinion maintenance does eat up much of the budget due to privatization.

Is there an accurate record of tracking costs of services provided by contractors? And I just want to know, I just want somebody to show me how you are actually tracking these figures that these contractors are doing because I don't think privatization is the way we need to go.

We are just looking for real answers for real solutions. The proposed budget for 2007 through 2012 is not really realistic, especially to us in Scott County. The Scott County Board of Supervisors, we have written our Delegate Kilgore, Senator Wampler and Governor Kaine to address these budget issues in Scott County and Southwestern Virginia, but it seems that they are falling on deaf ears.

Our legislators and governor must honor the route VDOT is heading or the jobs of VDOT employees or taxpayers money will be utterly wasted. Not only is the situation dire for many VDOT employees, but for the taxpaying citizens of Virginia.

You know, I just want to tell you guys, you

know, we are in a real different situation, 1 especially in Scott County, especially with all 2 the, you know, secondary road projects that we 3 need to have funding. So I just want to thank you 4 for giving me time to speak with you guys and 5 appreciate it. 6 Thank you, Mr. Hood. Could you MR. HOMER: 7 provide that data to us that talk about the 8 six-year program and reduction to Mr. Gibons who 9 is here, he might be able to capsulize that. 10 think that's important information and it tells an 11 important story. 12 The next speaker is Nancy, is it Sorrells 13 or Sorrells? 14 MS. SORRELLS: Sorrells. 15 MR. HOMER: Sorrells, excuse me. And she's 16 going to be followed by Jerryanne Bier. 17 MS. SORRELLS: Good evening. 18 MR. HOMER: Welcome, Ms. Sorrells. 19 Thank you. MS. SORRELLS: 20 Nancy Sorrells, I'm the Vice Chair of the Augusta 21 County Board of Supervisors. The Board and I 22 appreciate the opportunity to participate in the 23 preparation of the fiscal year 2006-7 allocation 24

program for interstate, primary and urban highways.

Augusta County has benefited from the many highway improvements VDOT has carried out in the past. We appreciate the state's decision to include in the six-year plan funding for engineering, right of way construction of the I-64 bridge widening at Route 285, which is Exit 91 at Fishersville, and I might add that this is the site of our regional hospital, and during that dozen years or so that that hospital has been in place we have continued to expand the hospital with state-of-the-art medical technology, including a new regional cancer wing, so this is our top priority for our projects.

Our county is large and diverse and the road system is vitally important to our well-being and future economic health. We have enjoyed substantial industrial and business growth in recent years and we anticipate more growth in the future. The highway system is one of the major reasons that we have a healthy local economy.

Our board and staff have reviewed your current program and ask that you continue to

1.4

advance the following projects in Augusta County.

I-81 widening and improvements where needed,

Route 340 and I-64 signal off ramp, I-64 bridge

widening off Route 285, which I just mentioned,

Route 11 widening and improvements, again where

needed.

We request that you add the following projects to the state's primary road funding list. Route 254 from Staunton to Route 42 at Buffalo Gap. This is a relatively narrow road with considerable traffic. We would like it improved with horizontal and vertical alignment meeting today's standards. This section of road services Beverly Manor Elementary School and Beverly Manor Middle School, along with Craigsville and the state's Augusta Correctional facility.

Also, Route 250 west of Staunton and
Route 42 north of Churchville, Route 11 north from
Verona to Route 256, Route 340 from Greenville to
Route 654, and the Route 358 entrance to the
Woodrow Wilson Rehabilitation Center.

The Route 11 and Route 340 projects would assist the state in diverting traffic from I-81 and I-64 when accidents or road conditions result

in closing sections of the interstate. We have interest also in the street and highway systems in Staunton and Waynesboro and support their efforts.

Our requests and those of the cities are coordinated and we continue to work together to provide an efficient road system for all the citizens of the region. We would request that VDOT's rural planning process be re-evaluated to afford counties the same opportunity for planning as cities now have.

Additionally, we support the Town of Craigsville's request that VDOT upgrade Route 42 through the town to address transportation and drainage problems. We in Augusta County know and understand the importance of highway transportation.

We have committed our revenue sharing money in the past to highway construction, have included in our budget one million dollars for fiscal year 2005/2006 for highway improvements in our county. We have enjoyed a good relationship with the department from the residency level on up and hope to continue that.

Our efforts to successfully implement the

rural rustic roads program is an excellent example of our cooperative and collaborative efforts.

In summary, on behalf of the Augusta County
I just thank you for your work in our area.
Please continue your efforts on the projects
already in your program and we respectfully
request that you add improvements noted in your
fiscal year 2006/2007 primary program. Thank you.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Ms. Sorrells. The next speaker is Jerryanne Bier.

MS. BIER: Bier.

MR. HOMER: Bier. To be followed by Lee Ann Carr. Welcome, Ms. Bier.

MS. BIER: Hi, gentlemen, and thanks for the opportunity, it's always encouraging that-I'm a private citizen, speaking from that perspective, that we have an opportunity to let the folks' decision makers know how we feel and questions we have about the projects.

I would simply like to talk about I-81,

I-73 and a few words about U.S. 220. I'm not sure

how I-81 fits into the six-year plan. I find the

document a little bit hard to understand and so

on, but I do know that it's certainly front and

center in what's happening with transportation resources and planning for the future.

And I really feel that the whole process that's been taking place with I-81 has really been, well, backwards in terms of the environmental study and having a solution before the environmental study was finished.

And I know all that's been addressed very eloquently in a lot of the hearings, but I would just hope when citizens and municipalities all along the corridor, of the effected corridor, are crying out for more prominent rail solution and specific targeted improvements to address realistic transportation needs, current and future, I can only hope that, you know, the CTB and all the folks responsible for decision making will truly listen to those who are going to be effected.

In terms of I-73, that's kind of not been high profile lately, but I would raise questions in terms of the six-year plan because of those two earmarks, you know, and I don't understand how things like this work because here you have an earmark for construction in the Martinsville area

when the final EIS isn't even been put out yet, no record of decision on I-73, and here you have something that is earmarked for construction funds.

Roanoke County. And to me having that even in the plan, because it seems that there is a mandate for match money, is totally out of line. Why are we even thinking about dedicating money, state money, for something that is—well, the whole project seems a little pie in the sky, but it seems to me that the federal mandate or earmarks for those two projects are really driving something that the Commonwealth citizens aren't going to benefit from, and it's detracting from using that resource in another manner.

So essentially what I would like to see happen in terms of I-73 is that for it to be revisited by the CTB and let's have some closure to those folks who have been in the approved location corridor who have been hanging out for announcement five years since the release of the DEIS.

There is a lot issues still outstanding in

terms of access to the Blue Ridge Parkway through Roanoke County, the law endangered Roanoke Log Perch. Lots of things that are hanging out. I would like to see the CTB revisit I-73 and look at a total upgrade of U.S. 220. Federal funds are available for that.

That's what the I-73 money and high priority could be dedicated to, changing over to the U.S. 220 upgrade, and that's been a story that's been going on for many years and it's really not fair to hold all those folks in the approved location corridor hostage to something that's not going to-- who knows if-- it's just not realistic.

And finally, I had a lot of questions about the U.S. 220 project, but with the thanks to Rob Carey who has been very, very helpful over the past several years, because I have had lots of inquiries and made perhaps a lot of demands in terms of wondering what's happening, you know, there is money moved from I-73 to improvements to U.S. 220 and it seemed things were slow in coming, but he has explained to me some of the projects that are underway.

They are identified in the six-year plan, I just hope they happen. I understand, too, that they are very small things, but everything matters, and whether it's lighting, whether it's signage, whether it's, you know, the lines on the side of the road, all those crossovers, and I-73 was supposedly happening for safety, to alleviate the safety issues on U.S. 220.

Again, going back to that, if we could dedicate more money to do a really good job instead of having to pinch and scrimp, but I certainly support all those little things as well. So, thank you very much.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Ms. Bier. The next speaker is Lee Ann Carr, to be followed by Delegate Morgan Griffith.

MS. CARR: Good evening, Secretary,

Gentlemen. My name is Lee Ann Carr and I'm the

Executive Director of the Bedford Area Chamber of

Commerce. We appreciate the opportunity to

address you this evening and want you to know that

we appreciate the important place on remembering

rural Virginia when planning how to spend our

transportation dollar.

It's particularly disturbing to come here this evening and hear about the cuts to public transportation, particularly in light of the rising gas prices. Bedford city and county represents one of the largest geographic areas in the state of Virginia without any type of public transportation.

Lack of public transportation has a variety of implications in our community, including but not limited to the following. Lack of transportation for our work force, which would be helpful for hourly employees and local businesses, particularly in view of our low unemployment rate and, again, the increase in gas prices.

Lack of transportation options for an aging population that is increasingly dependent upon our neighbors and friends for grocery shopping, access to public services which are often only offered in our surrounding communities of Lynchburg and Roanoke.

Lack of transportation for our children who are unable to participate in after school activities because their parents work in Lynchburg and Roanoke and after school bus service does not

*

extend to their area. Finally, lack of service for our citizens who do not drive and need access to medical care.

Currently Bedford Ride provides a service on a volunteer basis, but this year \$60,000 of their annual \$120,000 budget was cut on a federal level and that seriously threatens this primarily volunteer run program. We would like to encourage you to fund a Cap supported feasibility study grant to help us determine how we could best provide community transportation for the Bedford community.

Other topics that represent a priority for our Chamber included support of Bedford County road priorities with special emphasis on the importance of keeping 221 in the six-year plan, and we also encourage you to support Mr. Tom's request as that represents significant safety issues.

We are here tonight, too, to support the City of Bedford's priorities with particular emphasis on the improvements to Link Road and Route 714 from Highway 460 to the corporate limits.

The priority is particularly important for

the following reasons: Safety issues, high traffic volume, narrow lane widths, current geometry, specifically the curve at Smith Street, and enable the City of Bedford to realize significant economic benefit. So we have to keep that in mind.

We appreciate the difficult job that you have in utilizing an ever shrinking pool of money and thank you for the opportunity to speak.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Ms. Carr. The next speaker is Delegate Morgan Griffith. Welcome, Delegate Griffith.

MR. GRIFFITH: Thank you very much and I appreciate you being here within walking distance of my house and not too far from where Joe Paxton and I grew up about a block and a-half apart from each other. Joe was maybe a year ahead of me in school, but it was good to see him this evening and that was an extra bonus for being here.

Let me say first off that I know you all have to deal with what's on the table at this point, that's a difficult job. Before July 1 I am confident that you will have money, some money, and before the end of the year I think that you

will see that you will have additional money and possibly and probably new sources of revenues

dedicated.

The Governor and the Senate may not agree with me, I think that will be probably from some existing sources. But the bottom line is we will attempt to get additional money for transportation.

I was a little bit sorry that I didn't know about yesterday's announcement. When I first ran for office back in 1993 I laid out an economic development plan for the Roanoke Valley. Step one was to make sure that the preferred route for I-73 came through the Roanoke Valley. In 1993 that was not the case. We can check that.

Step two was secure an intermodal facility for the Roanoke Valley. It looks like the Governor is leading with Norfolk Southern, something I have talked with, who was then when I started talking with him, when he was secretary, in your shoes, Martinez, but I'm glad that his work behind the scenes and the Governor's work look like they are going to bring that second item in to play.

I will tell you while I think we need to make sure that the other two are well on the way down the road before we do number three, the third planning of that program that I outlined would be to come in and do what I called the dry land port.

Everybody always got confused with that title so let me explain it. What that would have been and what I think should be built in the future is a series of warehouses close to each other for start-up distribution companies so that you would create basically a shell community for start-up companies.

I think you have to have intermodal first,
I think you have to have I-73 further down the
path than it is now, but that was the 30 or 50
year plan that I laid out. We are a little over
10 years past that now, but it looks like we are
moving as a state in the right direction to what I
believe will secure the Roanoke Valley and the New
River Valley economically.

Most important, as always, and when I come to these I try to lay out what I think is most important because I know that no matter how much

money we might put together between now and the end of the year you can't fund everything in the next two or three years or even six years that might be necessary in the Roanoke Valley.

So my wish list still at the very top is 11 and 460 west of Salem. As I have indicated to you all before when I have come to talk to you, I believe that is imperative before you to do any repairs, improvements, et cetera, to 81 in this region because as you close down lanes you are going to push traffic of different volumes and different times of the day and night on to Route 11, particularly between Christiansburg and the City of Salem, and you have that bottleneck. That would be a real problem at certain times of the day.

I think that's step number one, not a huge project, but something that absolutely needs to be done. I-73 I have already mentioned. Obviously widening I-81, I have been and remain supportive of Star Solutions. A lot of people don't agree with me on that, but I am supportive because they have the only plan that I think when you are looking at transportation, as you all well know,

you are not looking at the next two years as I have heard so many times this year, you are looking at 30 to 50 years, and I believe we will

need the four lanes on 81.

They have the only plan that is out there, and you all have embraced components of that, and I appreciate that, that will build 81 looking to the future, taking care of today's needs but also the future. Obviously rail is also a component of that, we have to be mindful of that.

So 11, 460 west of Salem, 81, I-73. There are always pie in the sky projects that people want. I think that as Salem continues to grow, as there continues to be development just outside of Salem and Roanoke County, an additional bridge across the Roanoke River into the southern part of Salem and serve Salem and parts of west Roanoke County is probably going to move up the list. It's not on there yet, but probably ought to be something that we are looking forward to in the future.

221 in southwest Roanoke County, also very important, but it's kind of the tar baby of our road situations here because it's environmentally

sensitive. You know, you have to figure out a way 1 2 to work it and I think the best we can do is to try to slide out some curves in the short run. 3 Your folks have been working it, but just keep it 4 5 on the radar screen. 6 That being said, I appreciate you all being 7 I wish you all well in your travels home. When I leave I drive approximately four or five 8 blocks, I could walk but dinner is waiting and I 9 10 won't do that, but if I can ever be of service don't hesitate to contact me. 11 We may disagree from time to time, but the 12 door is always open. Thank you very much for 13 being here. 14 MR. HOMER: Thank you for taking the time 15 16 to be with us, Delegate Griffith. Sorry you 17 couldn't be with us, it was a great announcement 18 yesterday. 19 MR. GRIFFITH: Sometimes these things 20 happen. 21 MR. HOMER: Yes, sir. MR. GRIFFITH: And I would say to you that 22 the Joint Legislative Auto Review Commission was 23 meeting in Richmond so I would have had to try to 24

figure out how to be in two places at one time, and I don't know, this is such an important project for the Roanoke Valley, for the economic health long after I'm no longer in office, for jobs, for my children and grandchildren, and I think it's a big deal.

So I'm just tickled pink it's coming, I'm tickled pink that Governor Kaine has fully endorsed it and I will do whatever I can to help him in acquiring the necessary funds to make that project reality.

MR. HOMER: Thank you. The next speaker is Mr. Steve Chapin, to be followed by Kathleen Guzi.

MR. CHAPIN: Good evening, gentlemen, my name is Steve Chapin and this evening I'm representing the Roanoke Regional Chamber of Commerce. I deal with 1,500 businesses and tens of thousands of employees centered around the Roanoke Valley.

I realize and understand the challenges the Commonwealth faces in addressing our transportation needs, and please know that the Governor's efforts in addressing these needs are very much appreciated. His efforts to travel

across the Commonwealth multiple times are greatly appreciated.

I have been coming before this group now for about 10 years presenting the needs of the Roanoke Regional Chamber of Commerce and the needs of the Roanoke Valley. Three projects have continually been on our list. One is Route 58.

We appreciate that construction on Route 58 has progressed and we ask you to stick with that project until all of Route 58 is improved in southwest and south side Virginia.

Also on the list is Interstate 73. Safety on Route 220 is a huge concern, traveling between the Roanoke Valley and Martinsville. We ask you not to forget about Interstate 73 in your plans for the future. And number one on our list, probably for about the last 15 plus years, is Interstate 81.

And please remember, I guess everything that can be said about 81 has been said, but please remember that improving 81 is all about improving the quality of life for the citizens of Western Virginia. It's a matter of safety, it's a matter of reliability, whether you are traveling

I-81 for purposes of business or recreation. 1 And we also ask you to focus on a long-term 2 3 solution so that once construction is completed, whatever happens on 81, that the process does not have to start again and that we have a solution 5 that will serve generations to come in Western 6 7 Virginia. And on a personal note I would like to 8 thank all of you for the efforts that you make to 9 make Virginia a better place to live and I would 10 also like to acknowledge the great staff that VDOT 11 has in the Salem district, Lynchburg, Staunton and 12 Bristol, you really have some good, dedicated 13 individuals working in those districts and 14 throughout the Commonwealth. 15 In closing, again, I remind you that 16 17 improving Interstate 81 is all about improving the quality of life for all citizens of Western 18 Thank you, gentlemen. Virginia. 19 Thank you, Mr. Chapin. MR. HOMER: The 20 next speaker is Kathleen Guzi. 21 MS. GUZI: Guzi. 22 MR. HOMER: Guzi, excuse me. 23 MS. GUZI: No problem. 24

MR. HOMER: Who will be followed by Joyce Waugh.

MS. GUZI: Thank you, Mr. Secretary, and gentlemen. I am Kathleen Guzi, I am County

Administrator in Bedford, so I'm here tonight representing the Board of Supervisors.

You heard Delegate Griffith earlier talk about some possible projects that may be considered pie in the sky. Well, I'm here tonight to talk about projects that are real, that you have started and that we need to continue moving.

So with that said I want to first thank you for all of your support in the past for not only the roads in Bedford County, but also all the roads in Commonwealth. However, as we all agree tonight, there is much more to be done.

I'm sure, as you are aware, Bedford County is the fifth largest county in land area in the Commonwealth. Also, we are the fastest growing locality in the Salem highway district and also the fastest growing locality in the Commonwealth west of the I-95 corridor, and we have been for the past 20 years.

Our fastest growing area is up in the

Forest area and Route 221. You have been extremely helpful in getting the funding for that project to widen the roads, to provide the signalization where needed to help us deal with our growth. That project is near the end of the current phase and any continued funding that you can put for this project to keep it moving would be greatly appreciated.

MR. HOMER: I'm sorry, what was that route number?

MS. GUZI: Route 221 up in the Forest area.

Next, Route 24, you have previously completed

several different phases of 24. We are asking for
the next phase to be started and funding. This

project was in the original draft plan, but due to
the budget constraints it has been removed at this
time.

If as Delegate Griffith stated that additional funding may be secured in this next fiscal year we would greatly appreciate putting that project back in the plan because it was in your original draft plan.

Following that I would like to echo the comments you heard earlier from Mr. Toms in terms

of the safety improvements needed at the Route 122
and 24 corridor. I will not repeat what Mr. Toms
said, but obviously there are safety concerns
there, you have made some improvements, but we
need to continue working with our resident
engineer and the district to continue and complete

those improvements.

Along those lines, we are well aware of the fact that there is a push now to make sure that we coordinate land use and our road transportation issues. With that we would like to thank

Mr. Caywood who has been very helpful in starting a corridor study for our whole Route 122 corridor.

However, we want to make sure that that funding continues for the planning process and then once the plan hopefully is adopted then we can move forward with construction.

And then finally, last but not least,

Route 501. Again, this is a major safety issue.

Again, previously you have funded some safety
improvements there, but the 501 Corridor

Coalition, which is made up of several localities,
has adopted 10 key priorities that will include
that stretch of 501 that is used not only by

residents but many, many businesses in the

Commonwealth and is a crucial route, especially

for some of the lumbering and wood product

companies, so any funding you can give to the

Route 501 safety improvements would be gradually

appreciated. Thank you.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Ms. Guzi. The next speaker is Joyce Waugh. Welcome, Ms. Joyce Waugh.

MS. JOYCE: Thank you. Good to see you.

And I'm here representing tonight the Virginia

West Business and Legislative Coalition. It's a

group of 15 Chambers in the western region with

over 8,000 members.

Most of the comments that I have are related to projects that you have already heard about, and some are not in the Salem district but are very important, including 58 that you heard earlier, but also the completion of Route 29, the improvements, 73, but also, in addition to I-73, upgrading 220 from Buena Vista all the way to the North Carolina line.

That is important and it's becoming increasingly important since I-73 has been delayed over time. Delays are always expensive in more

ways than one. Not just the sheer cost as we are
experiencing from the gas and asphalt and concrete
and all of those things, but as we know with some
of the other speakers, and also I-81, it costs

lives.

And one of the facts that I don't believe has been brought out yet, the percentage of fatalities of I-81 according to VDOT's 2003 stats, that it was that the percentage of fatalities was twice that of either 64 or 95. A lot of people don't realize just how important that is.

Rail is a very important part of the solution, it is not the only part of the solution, and that's true across the board, especially where 81 is concerned. Also, improvement to 221 and 11, 460. We want to thank you for the work that is being done to improve the 11, 460 stretch just west of Salem and also for the wonderful work that has just been done to further the Heartland Corridor which we pushed both at the state and federal level.

Meadow Creek Parkway up in the
Charlottesville area is a very big project that
needs to be completed. The southeast connector

road, which is in the Harrisonburg area. I skipped over U.S. 501 which we would like to see fast tracked over in the Lynchburg area as well. You have already heard about 221 and 122.

Route 37, also in the Harrisonburg area, the eastern loop, the improvements that are needed there, and also just focusing a little bit on rail, the transmini express and also the freight components. Those are very important to the long-term viability of our future.

And we appreciate even the leadership that we see and need more for air service, which is another component, but not here today. So thank you very much for your dedication and service to the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Your efforts to make all modes of transportation a safe and effective system for transporting goods and people is greatly appreciated. I would like to submit these to you.

MR. HOMER: Thank you, Ms. Waugh, we look forward to entering these into the written record.

Is there anybody else who would like to

speak? Is there any member of the Commonwealth Transportation Board who wants to say a word?

Okay, well, with that the Committee will rise, and on behalf of the Commonwealth Transportation Board I want to thank all of you for coming out to share these important thoughts.

There are very difficult decisions between now and mid June, and just in terms of the time line, any of you who have worked in the transportation arena know that you can't overnight change a program.

And so what we have said is to the degree that there is additional funding available we would need to know that by very early June in order to incorporate it into the program.

So that would be our hope, that the General Assembly is active prior to that to allow some of that to occur.

MR. KEEN: I would simply add that it's going to take eight million just to keep us where we are, if you remember that part of the presentation, so even if there is money coming from the legislature it's going to take a lot more than just what we have here for any new projects

or any additional monies to be put on existing projects to move our plan forward. MR. HOMER: Thank you for that. With that, the Board will rise and, again, thank you for spending your time with us this evening. (The Public Hearing was concluded.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA 4 COUNTY OF BOTETOURT 5 I, Debra A. Howard, Notary Public in and 6 for the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby certify that 7 the Hearing held on May 9, 2006, was by me reduced to Я machine shorthand in the presence of all the Parties, 9 afterwards transcribed under my direction by means of 10 Computer, and that to the best of my ability the foregoing 11 is a true and correct transcript of the Hearing as 12 aforesaid. 13 I further certify that this Hearing was 14 taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption 15 specified. 16 I further certify that I am not a relative, 17 counsel or attorney for either party or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 19 hand at Fincastle, Virginia, on this the _ 20 21 22 Debra A. Howard 23 Notary Public 24 My Commission expires September 30, 2006.